



Correction to: Data-driven prototyping via natural-language-based GUI retrieval

Kristian Kolthoff¹ · Christian Bartelt¹ · Simone Paolo Ponzetto²

© The Author(s) 2023

Correction to: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10515-023-00377-x>

In Tables 1 and 2 of this article, the numbers are not aligned to the metrics.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10515-023-00377-x>

✉ Kristian Kolthoff
kolthoff@es.uni-mannheim.de

Christian Bartelt
bartelt@es.uni-mannheim.de

Simone Paolo Ponzetto
simone@informatik.uni-mannheim.de

¹ Institute for Enterprise Systems, University of Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany

² Data and Web Science Group, University of Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany

Table 1 Evaluation results overview of the different models on the NL-based GUI ranking gold standard using the Precision@k (p@k) and NDCG@k metrics

	P@k				NDCG@k (N@k)			
	P@3	P@5	P@7	P@10	N@3	N@5	N@10	N@15
TF-IDF	0.223	0.204	0.181	0.175	0.329	0.339	0.395	0.480
BM25	0.303	0.276	0.246	0.226	0.426	0.441	0.515	0.579
nBOW	0.270	0.234	0.220	0.193	0.395	0.370	0.374	0.398
BM25	0.303	0.276	0.246	0.226	0.426	0.441	0.515	0.579
+PRF	0.313	0.266	0.259	0.236	0.432	0.443	0.520	0.584
+PRF (s)	0.317	0.280	0.260	0.235	0.441	0.462	0.536	0.604
+PRF (w)	0.320	0.276	0.244	0.231	0.439	0.417	0.421	0.446
+PRF (sw)	0.317	0.280	0.256	0.237	0.429	0.416	0.426	0.456
Sentence-BERT	0.343	0.314	0.294	0.267	0.481	0.511	0.611	0.667
BERT-LTR (1)	0.377	0.350	0.307	0.269	0.530	0.560	0.634	0.697
BERT-LTR (2)	0.400	0.340	0.304	0.281	0.543	0.556	0.636	0.701
BERT-LTR (3)	0.363	0.354	0.317	0.287	0.517	0.554	0.646	0.694

Bold values indicate best metric score within the result group (baselines, AQE methods and BERT)

Underline values indicate best metric score over all result groups

Table 2 Evaluation results overview of the different models on the NL-based GUI ranking gold standard using Average Precision (AP), MRR and HITS@k

	AveP	MRR	HITS@k (H@k)					
			H@1	H@3	H@5	H@7	H@10	H@15
TF-IDF	0.331	0.451	0.320	0.460	0.580	0.650	0.760	0.910
BM25	0.413	0.520	0.370	0.600	0.690	0.760	0.860	0.930
nBOW	0.281	0.490	0.340	0.540	0.630	0.750	0.840	0.980
BM25	0.413	0.520	0.370	0.600	0.690	0.760	0.860	0.930
+PRF	0.419	0.505	0.370	0.580	0.680	0.780	0.850	0.930
+PRF (s)	0.427	0.532	0.380	0.610	0.700	0.780	0.880	0.960
+PRF (w)	0.325	0.523	0.380	0.580	0.700	0.720	0.860	0.930
+PRF (sw)	0.333	0.533	0.390	0.590	0.700	0.770	0.870	0.930
Sentence-BERT	0.454	0.560	0.370	0.680	0.760	0.880	0.960	0.990
BERT-LTR (1)	0.486	0.618	0.460	0.710	0.860	0.920	0.980	1.00
BERT-LTR (2)	0.501	0.631	0.440	0.750	0.910	0.960	0.980	1.00
BERT-LTR (3)	0.499	0.626	0.450	0.730	0.860	0.940	1.00	1.00

Bold values indicate best metric score within the result group (baselines, AQE methods and BERT)

Underline values indicate best metric score over all result groups

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.